REMARKS

In the application claims 1, 2, and 5-19 remain pending. Claims 3 and 4 have been canceled as being drawn to a non-elected species of the invention. Claims 12-19 have been added by amendment, find their support in the specification as originally filed, and are drawn to the elected species of the invention. Presently, claims 1 and 2 stand rejected while claims 5-11 have been allowed. The reconsideration of the rejection of the claims is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1 and 2 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Tessier (U.S. Patent No. 5,629,868). In response, claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter which the Office Action has acknowledged is missing from Tessier. Such subject matter is also set forth in newly presented claims 12-19. Thus, believing that the claims as amended and added are allowable, it is respectfully requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the application is in good and proper form for allowance. Such action of the part of the Examiner is respectfully requested. Should it be determined, however, that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of the subject application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the attorney undersigned.

Date: February 18, 2005

While it is not believed that any fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee deficiency to deposit account 50-2428 in the name of Greenberg Traurig.

Respectfully Submitted;

By:

Gary R. Jarosik

Reg. No. 35,906 Greenberg Traurig, LLP

77 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(312) 456-8449